

Mayor	Candidate	Lisa Borders	Peter Brownlowe	Kyle Keyser	Mary Norwood#	Kasim Reed#	Jesse Spikes
	Rating	Excellent	Not Qualified	Did Not Participate	Well Qualified	Excellent	Well Qualified
General Qualifications	Vision	96	40	-	88	96	86
	Qualifications/Experience	97	32	-	90	95	83
	Ability to implement initiatives	94	23	-	82	92	80
	Managing government	95	22	-	88	92	83
	Public safety	94	52	-	87	92	78
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	96	22	-	83	95	81
	Mobility	93	23	-	83	92	76
	Infrastructure	95	29	-	85	93	82
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>95</b>	<b>30</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>93</b>	<b>81</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>11,389</b>	<b>101</b>	<b>579</b>	<b>36,091</b>	<b>28,620</b>	<b>1,943</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>14.45%</b>	<b>0.13%</b>	<b>0.73%</b>	<b>45.81%</b>	<b>36.32%</b>	<b>2.47%</b>

City Council President	Candidate	Cesar Mitchell#	Clair Muller#	Dave Walker
	Rating	Excellent	Excellent	Did Not Participate
General Qualifications	Vision	92	92	-
	Qualifications/Experience	93	96	-
	Ability to implement initiatives	91	93	-
	Managing government	92	90	-
	Public safety	94	88	-
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	92	90	-
	Mobility	90	95	-
	Infrastructure	91	92	-
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>-</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>33,453</b>	<b>28,737</b>	<b>6,326</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>48.67%</b>	<b>41.81%</b>	<b>9.20%</b>

District 1	Candidate	Carla Smith (Incumbent)*
	Rating	Excellent
General Qualifications	Vision	93
	Qualifications/Experience	94
	Ability to implement initiatives	94
	Managing government	91
	Public safety	95
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	90
	Mobility	91
	Infrastructure	92
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>92</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>4,081</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>98.34%</b>

District 2	Candidate	Kwanza Hall (Incumbent)*
	Rating	Excellent
General Qualifications	Vision	91
	Qualifications/Experience	92
	Ability to implement initiatives	89
	Managing government	88
	Public safety	90
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	90
	Mobility	90
	Infrastructure	88
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>89</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>4,214</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>98.48%</b>

District 3	Candidate	Ivory Young (Incumbent)*	Darrien Fletcher	Kendal Richardson
	Rating	Well Qualified	Did Not Participate	Did Not Participate
General Qualifications	Vision	90	-	-
	Qualifications/Experience	90	-	-
	Ability to implement initiatives	89	-	-
	Managing government	87	-	-
	Public safety	84	-	-
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	84	-	-
	Mobility	78	-	-
	Infrastructure	78	-	-
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>85</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>-</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>2,641</b>	<b>659</b>	
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>79.74%</b>	<b>19.90%</b>	

District 4	Candidate	Cleta Winslow (Incumbent)*	LaShawn Hoffman	Deborah Williams	Sidney Wood
	Rating	Well Qualified	Average	Candidate requested scores not published	Average
General Qualifications	Vision	91	77	-	84
	Qualifications/Experience	88	79	-	81
	Ability to implement initiatives	86	75	-	77
	Managing government	87	71	-	76
	Public safety	88	77	-	81
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	84	73	-	76
	Mobility	87	72	-	76
	Infrastructure	84	71	-	77
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>74</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>78</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>2,197</b>	<b>1,151</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>311</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>52.90%</b>	<b>27.71%</b>	<b>11.85%</b>	<b>7.49%</b>

District 5	Candidate	Natalyn Archibong (Incumbent)*
	Rating	Did Not Participate
General Qualifications	Vision	-
	Qualifications/Experience	-
	Ability to implement initiatives	-
	Managing government	-
	Public safety	-
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	-
	Mobility	-
	Infrastructure	-
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>-</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>4,039</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>98.42%</b>

District 6	Candidate	Bahareh Azizi	Steve Brodie	Elizabeth Coyle#	Tad Christian	Miguel Gallegos	Alex Wan#
	Rating	Well Qualified	Well Qualified	Well Qualified	Did Not Participate	Not Qualified	Excellent
General Qualifications	Vision	84	90	90	-	51	92
	Qualifications/Experience	84	86	90	-	57	92
	Ability to implement initiatives	82	84	82	-	45	92
	Managing government	78	85	88	-	42	89
	Public safety	79	86	88	-	52	87
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	79	88	84	-	49	91
	Mobility	77	88	91	-	39	88
	Infrastructure	78	88	86	-	40	87
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>80</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>87</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>47</b>	<b>90</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>721</b>	<b>1,296</b>	<b>2,172</b>	<b>2,106</b>	<b>149</b>	<b>3,062</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>7.58%</b>	<b>13.62%</b>	<b>22.83%</b>	<b>22.14%</b>	<b>1.57%</b>	<b>32.18%</b>

District 7	Candidate	Howard Shook (Incumbent)*
	Rating	Excellent
General Qualifications	Vision	96
	Qualifications/Experience	96
	Ability to implement initiatives	95
	Managing government	96
	Public safety	96
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	95
	Mobility	91
	Infrastructure	97
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>95</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>5,554</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>98.81%</b>

District 8	Candidate	Yolanda Adrean*	Rick Coleman
	Rating	Excellent	Did Not Participate
General Qualifications	Vision	91	-
	Qualifications/Experience	92	-
	Ability to implement initiatives	87	-
	Managing government	91	-
	Public safety	87	-
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	88	-
	Mobility	83	-
	Infrastructure	88	-
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>-</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>6,910</b>	<b>2,143</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>76.23%</b>	<b>23.64%</b>

District 9	Candidate	Felicia Moore (Incumbent)*
	Rating	Excellent
General Qualifications	Vision	93
	Qualifications/Experience	94
	Ability to implement initiatives	93
	Managing government	92
	Public safety	91
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	89
	Mobility	86
	Infrastructure	89
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>91</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>3,866</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>98.82%</b>

District 10	Candidate	C.T. Martin (Incumbent)*
	Rating	Well Qualified
General Qualifications	Vision	85
	Qualifications/Experience	85
	Ability to implement initiatives	84
	Managing government	85
	Public safety	86
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	79
	Mobility	82
	Infrastructure	84
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>84</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>6,313</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>99.18%</b>

District 11	Candidate	Ray Abram	Keisha Bottoms*	Johnny Dixon	A. Reginald Eaves	Morris Finley	Silas Kevil	Edith Ladipo	Alvelyn Sanders	Juanita Smith
	Rating	Average	Well Qualified	Well Qualified	Did Not Participate	Not Qualified	Not Qualified	Well Qualified	Well Qualified	Not Qualified
General Qualifications	Vision	74	89	83	-	65	74	86	85	73
	Qualifications/Experience	70	87	82	-	59	73	84	85	73
	Ability to implement initiatives	74	88	81	-	65	72	83	84	71
	Managing government	74	85	76	-	64	71	83	80	66
	Public safety	73	87	83	-	65	72	85	81	78
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	74	85	76	-	59	60	80	79	58
	Mobility	73	85	78	-	55	64	81	79	62
	Infrastructure	72	85	76	-	51	63	79	77	65
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>73</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>79</b>	<b>-</b>	<b>60</b>	<b>68</b>	<b>83</b>	<b>81</b>	<b>68</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>418</b>	<b>4,495</b>	<b>492</b>	<b>1,009</b>	<b>465</b>	<b>287</b>	<b>211</b>	<b>548</b>	<b>464</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>4.98%</b>	<b>53.54%</b>	<b>5.86%</b>	<b>12.02%</b>	<b>5.54%</b>	<b>3.42%</b>	<b>2.51%</b>	<b>6.53%</b>	<b>5.53%</b>

District 12	Candidate	Joyce Sheperd (Incumbent)*	Curtis Davis	Keisha Waites
	Rating	Excellent	Not Qualified	Average
General Qualifications	Vision	93	66	79
	Qualifications/Experience	93	69	78
	Ability to implement initiatives	88	63	72
	Managing government	88	61	77
	Public safety	91	67	73
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	89	62	75
	Mobility	87	59	70
	Infrastructure	84	57	73
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>89</b>	<b>63</b>	<b>75</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>2,229</b>	<b>550</b>	<b>1,015</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>58.70%</b>	<b>14.49%</b>	<b>26.73%</b>

Post 1 at-large	Candidate	Michael Bond*	Adam Brackman	Dwanda Farmer	Chris Vaughn
	Rating	Excellent	Average	Average	Average
General Qualifications	Vision	85	76	81	82
	Qualifications/Experience	89	79	79	80
	Ability to implement initiatives	89	76	74	80
	Managing government	89	77	74	81
	Public safety	90	79	76	82
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	86	71	70	79
	Mobility	89	74	72	68
	Infrastructure	85	76	72	66
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>88</b>	<b>76</b>	<b>75</b>	<b>77</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>35,418</b>	<b>14,353</b>	<b>4,499</b>	<b>10,352</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>54.66%</b>	<b>22.15%</b>	<b>6.94%</b>	<b>15.98%</b>

Post 2 at-large	Candidate	Amir Farokhi#	Weslee Knapp	Aaron Watson#
	Rating	Excellent	Well Qualified	Excellent
General Qualifications	Vision	92	87	93
	Qualifications/Experience	93	89	95
	Ability to implement initiatives	89	89	92
	Managing government	90	85	93
	Public safety	91	87	89
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	90	90	90
	Mobility	91	88	88
	Infrastructure	88	81	88
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>91</b>	<b>86</b>	<b>91</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>25,769</b>	<b>9,438</b>	<b>27,285</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>41.11%</b>	<b>15.06%</b>	<b>43.53%</b>

Post 3 at-large	Candidate	H. Lamar Willis (Incumbent)*	Sheltha Robertson
	Rating	Excellent	Not Qualified
General Qualifications	Vision	93	70
	Qualifications/Experience	93	70
	Ability to implement initiatives	91	65
	Managing government	91	70
	Public safety	94	76
Understanding of/commitment to key issues	Economic development	90	67
	Mobility	93	54
	Infrastructure	91	66
	<b>Overall Score</b>	<b>92</b>	<b>67</b>
Results	<b>Votes</b>	<b>30,635</b>	<b>27,120</b>
	<b>Percentage</b>	<b>52.75%</b>	<b>46.69%</b>